**OTHER TOOLS**

*Be sure to give similar thought to other tools that might have featured in your portfolio, including:*

* Program-related investments (PRIs) / Impact investing
* Strategic communications
* Government assistance
* [New Enterprise development](https://karl.soros.org/communities/strategy-unit/wiki/new-enterprise-support/)
* Open Society Exchanges (e.g., [Youth Exchange](https://karl.soros.org/communities/open-society-youth-exchange/wiki/youth-exchange/), [Arts Exchange](https://karl.soros.org/communities/arts-exchange/wiki/overview/))

**LITIGATION**

*Consider decisions made in preparing for litigation and implementing judgments, as well as any externalities.*

* What was the overall goal of the project, and the objective of the litigation? Did the litigation lead to those outcomes, or were there any unintended consequences?
* What were your case selection criteria? How did you find cases, and why did you choose to litigate these?
* Did you deploy other tools to advance the project objectives, and were they appropriately chosen?
* What role did partners play to collaborate in the litigation?
* Was the time and the cost of direct litigation justified? Was the decision to take a more prominent role warranted?

***With the benefit of hindsight, what would you do differently?***

**DIRECT ADVOCACY**

*Comment on your* contribution *as an advocate, even if you cannot attribute a specific change to your action alone.*

* Did you target the right actors?
* Did you choose the right partners? Did they include relevant OSF units?
* Were your message framing and “ask” appropriate for the audience?
* Did you use the right mix of tactics?
* How did you cultivate contacts and leverage the power of your relationships?
* Were you prepared to seize opportunities that arose and shift tactics when needed?
* Was the decision to advocate in OSF’s name justified?

**INDIVIDUAL GRANTS**

*Rather than emphasizing what the individuals have achieved, reflect on what the unit sought to achieve.*

* Did the design of the program serve to help you achieve your goals? Was the effectiveness of individual support borne out by results?
* Were there elements of the planning, implementation, or follow up that could have been more effective? How? Were supporting activities successfully employed to advance the program’s aims?
* Which grantees demonstrate the success (or not) of the program and how?
* Did you strike a good balance between supporting individuals, supporting the field, and producing any benefit for OSF?
* Is alumni engagement critical to the long-term impact of this program? If so, has it been successful?